

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **LITTLE ROUND POND (LAKE IVANHOE), WAKEFIELD**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

We would like to congratulate your group on sampling twice this season! However, we would like to continue to encourage your group to conduct more sampling events in the future. Typically we recommend that monitoring groups sample three times per summer (once in **June, July**, and **August**). We understand that the number of sampling events you decide to conduct per summer will depend upon volunteer availability, and your monitoring group's water monitoring goals and funding availability. However, with a limited amount of data it is difficult to determine accurate and representative water quality trends. Since weather patterns and activity in the watershed can change throughout the summer, from year to year, and even from hour to hour during a rain event, it is a good idea to sample the pond at least once per month over the course of the season.

If you are having difficulty finding volunteers to help sample, or to pick-up or drop-off equipment at one of the laboratories, please give the VLAP Coordinator a call and we will try to help you work out an arrangement.

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **June** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers Kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic, a biologist will visit the site to determine

the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers web page at www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm.

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **decreased** from **July** to **August** at **Station 1** and **Station 2**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 chlorophyll-a mean for **Station 1** and **Station 2** is **less than** the state median and the similar lake median (for more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F).

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for **Station 1** and **Station 2** (the bottom graph) shows a **variable** in-lake chlorophyll-a trend since monitoring began. Specifically the mean concentration at **Station 1** has **fluctuated between approximately 1.4 and 4.7 mg/m³** and the mean concentration at **Station 2** has **fluctuated between approximately 2.7 and 6.4 mg/m³**. Please keep in mind that this trend is based on limited data. As your group expands its sampling program we will be able to determine trends with more accuracy and confidence.

After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean chlorophyll-a concentration since monitoring began.

While algae are naturally present in all ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase (such as sediment phosphorus releases, known as internal loading). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about activities within the watershed that affect phosphorus loading and pond quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year data for pond transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency at **Station 1 increased slightly** from **July** to **August** and the transparency at **Station 2 decreased slightly** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 mean transparency at **Station 1** and **Station 2** is **greater than** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a **decreasing (meaning worsening trend)** at **Station 1** and **Station 2** since monitoring originally began. However, it is important to point out that the transparency at both stations has been **relatively stable** since 1988. As previously discussed, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment erosion to flow into ponds and streams, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has joined VLAP.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

STATION 1

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **decreased** from **July** to **August**.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **remained stable** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic and hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration are **less than** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion and hypolimnion shows a **variable** phosphorus trend. Specifically, the mean annual epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between 5.5 and 19.5 ug/L** and the mean annual hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between 6.0 and 16.0 ug/L**.

STATION 2

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased slightly*** from **July** to **August**.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***decreased slightly*** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic and hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration are ***less than*** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***slightly elevated*** on the **July** sampling event. This suggests that the pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the pond bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the pond bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion and hypolimnion shows a ***variable*** phosphorus trend. Specifically, the mean annual epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***fluctuated between 7.0 and 24.0 ug/L*** and the mean annual hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***fluctuated between 3.5 and 14.0 ug/L***.

As discussed previously, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean phosphorus concentration since monitoring began.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake or pond's watershed typically include septic systems, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

STATION 1

The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **August** sample were ***Anabaena* (cyanobacteria)**, ***Staurastrum* (green)**, and ***Mallomonas* (golden-brown)**.

STATION 2

The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **August** sample were ***Anabaena* (cyanobacteria)**, ***Staurastrum* (green)**, and ***Dinobryon* (golden-brown)**.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 2: Cyanobacteria**

The cyanobacterium ***Anabaena*** was the most-dominant species observed in the **August** plankton sample at both deep spots. In addition, a small amount of the cyanobacteria ***Aphanizomenon*** was present in the **August** sample at **Station 2**. ***This species, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.*** (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria).

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased (this is often caused by rain events) and favorable environmental conditions occur (such as a period of sunny, warm weather).

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the pond’s delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the pond by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the pond shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly

maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the pond in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to “pile” cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the pond. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample (any clean jar or bottle will be suitable) and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean pH at the **Station 1** deep spot ranged from **6.48** in the hypolimnion to **6.45** in the epilimnion, and at the **Station 2** deep spot the pH ranged from **6.51** in the hypolimnion to **6.45** in the epilimnion this season. These data indicate that the pond is ***slightly acidic***.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition (from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates) in New Hampshire, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase pond pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least

“moderately vulnerable” to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) at **Station 1** was **2.6 mg/L** and at **Station 2** was **2.3 mg/L** this season, both of which are *less than* the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond is *moderately vulnerable* to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation).

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current (which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column). The median conductivity value for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report.

The mean annual conductivity in the epilimnion at the **Station 1** deep spot was **87.70 uMhos/cm** and at **Station 2** was **88.05 uMhos/cm**, both of which are *greater than* the state median.

The conductivity has *increased* in the pond since monitoring began. Typically, sources of increased conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the tributaries with *elevated* conductivity to help pinpoint the sources of *elevated* conductivity.

To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** (upper layer) and runoff areas near salted roadways and driveways be sampled for chloride next season.

Please note that there will be an additional cost for each of the chloride samples and that these samples must be analyzed at the DES laboratory in Concord. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The inlet(s) was/were not sampled this season. It would be best to sample the inlet(s) in the spring soon after snowmelt or after a rain event to determine the quality of water that flows into the pond.

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2005 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **high** at all deep spot depths sampled at the pond on the **August** sampling event. Typically, shallow lakes and ponds that are not deep enough to stratify into more than one or two thermal layers will have relatively high amounts of oxygen at all depths. This is due to continual lake mixing and diffusion of oxygen into the bottom waters induced by wind and wave action.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***slightly elevated*** on the **July** sampling event. This suggests that the pond bottom may have been disturbed by the anchor or by the Kemmerer Bottle while sampling and/or that the pond bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the pond bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 lists the current year and historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. (Please note that Table 12 now lists the maximum and minimum results for this season and for all past sampling seasons.) *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **MAY** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **MAY** also be present.

The *E.coli* concentration was **low** at each of the sites tested this season. We hope this trend continues!

If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

➤ **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

This table lists the most current sampling season results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw” (meaning unprocessed) data. The results are sorted by station, depth zone (epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion) and parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the Spring of 2004, all historical and current year V LAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of V LAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past (and are most familiar with), an EMD station

name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. For each station sampled at your pond, Table 15 identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a “Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit” for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors fail to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did a **very good** job when collecting samples this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures when collecting and submitting samples to the laboratory. However, the laboratory did identify a few aspects of sample collection that the volunteer monitors could improve upon. They are as follows:

- **New Stations Documentation:** When collecting a sample at a new station location, including all new *E.coli* stations, please remember to fill out a station identification form and indicate the location of the new station on a map. This information must be submitted to the laboratory with your samples. This will allow DES to add the new station to the database and your station map.
- **Sample holding time:** Please remember to return samples to the laboratory **within 24 hours of sample collection**. This will ensure that samples do not degrade before they are analyzed. If you plan to sample on the weekend, please sample on Sunday, preferably in the afternoon, and return samples to the lab first thing on Monday morning to ensure that samples can be analyzed within 24 hours. *E.coli* samples that are more than 24 hours old will not be accepted by the laboratory for analysis. **It is best to collect *E.coli* samples just before driving the samples to the laboratory to ensure that the samples will be able to be processed within 24 hours.**

USEFUL RESOURCES

Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems, NHDES Fact Sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm.

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, NHDES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms, NHDES Fact Sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm.

Freshwater Jellyfish In New Hampshire, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-5, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-51/htm.

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Low Impact Development Hydrologic Analysis. Manual prepared by Prince George's County, Maryland, Department of Environmental Resources. July 1999. To access this document, visit www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid_hydr.pdf or call the EPA Water Resource Center at (202) 566-1736.

Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm.

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites, NHDES Fact Sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm.

Swimmers Itch, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-2.htm.

Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.

Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm.

Watershed Districts and Ordinances, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm.